

## RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

**Requester: ANR Pipeline**

**Request No.: R96121 A-19**

**1. Recommended Action:**

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

**Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:**

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

**2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**

**Per Request:**

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal
  
- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

**Per Recommendation:**

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal
  
- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

**3. RECOMMENDATION**

**CODE VALUES LOG** (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

**Document Name and No.:** Pre-determined Allocation - Quick Response, 2.4.2

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Validation Code	C			
[Header Level Errors]		01	<del>Invalid or missing Statement Recipient ID</del>	
		02	<del>Invalid or missing preparer id</del>	
		03	<del>Invalid or missing statement date/time</del>	
		EPDQR100	Invalid Statement Recipient ID	[No definition necessary]
		EPDQR101	Missing Statement Recipient ID	[No definition necessary]

**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: ANR Pipeline**

**Request No.: R96121 A-19**

		EPDQR102	Invalid Preparer ID	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR103	Missing Preparer ID	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR104	Invalid Statement Date/Time	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR105	Missing Statement Date/Time	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
[Detail Level Errors]		01	Invalid or missing location code	
		02	Invalid or missing flow date/time	
		03	Invalid or missing direction of flow indicator	
		04	Missing line number	
		EPDQR300	Invalid Location Code	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR301	Missing Location Code	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR302	Invalid flow date/time	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR303	Missing flow date/time	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR304	Invalid Direction of Flow indicator	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR305	Missing Direction of Flow indicator	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
[Sub-detail Level Errors]		01	Invalid or missing allocation method	
		02	Invalid or missing allocation rank indicator	
		03	Invalid or missing allocation rank level	
		04	Invalid or missing bid transportation rate	
		05	Invalid or missing downstream contract identifier	
		06	Invalid or missing downstream identifier	
		07	Invalid or missing limit value	
		09	Invalid or missing service providers activity code	
		10	Invalid or missing service requester contract	
		11	Invalid or missing upstream contract identifier	
		12	Invalid or missing upstream identifier code	
		EPDQR500	Invalid Allocation Method	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR501	Missing Allocation Method	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>

**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: ANR Pipeline**

**Request No.: R96121 A-19**

		EPDQR502	Invalid Allocation Rank Indicator	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR503	Missing Allocation Rank Indicator	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR504	Invalid Allocation Rank Level	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR505	Missing Allocation Rank Level	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR506	Invalid Bid Transportation Rate	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR507	Missing Bid Transportation Rate	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR508	Invalid Downstream Contract Identifier	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR509	Missing Downstream Contract Identifier	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR510	Invalid Downstream Identifier Code	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR511	Missing Downstream Identifier Code	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR512	Invalid Limit Value	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR513	Missing Limit Value	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR514	Invalid Package ID	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR515	Missing Package ID	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR516	Invalid Service Provider's Activity Code	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR517	Missing Service Provider's Activity Code	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR518	Invalid Service Requester Contract	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR519	Missing Service Requester Contract	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR520	Invalid Upstream Contract Identifier	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR521	Missing Upstream Contract Identifier	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR522	Invalid Upstream Identifier Code	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR523	Missing Upstream Identifier Code	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR524	Invalid Service Requester ID	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR525	Missing Service Requester ID	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR526	Sum of <del>rank</del> allocation levels ( <del>percentages</del> ) is not equal to 100	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>

**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: ANR Pipeline**

**Request No.: R96121 A-19**

		EPDQR527	Invalid Associated Contract	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		EPDQR529	Missing Associated Contract	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
[Header Level Warnings]		WPDQR100	Missing Contact Person	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
[Detail Level Warnings]		WPDQR300	Missing assigned ID code (POC01)	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WPDQR301	PDA Date/Time range is longer than nomination period. Did not process beyond nomination period.	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WPDQR302	PDA Date/Time range is shorter than nomination period. PDAs for remaining nomination period defaulted to pro rata if no PDA is submitted.	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WPDQR303	No PDA information is necessary	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
[Sub-detail Level Warnings]		WPDQR500	Limit Value not processed <del>for under production</del>	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WPDQR501	Bid Transportation Rate not processed	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WPDQR502	Downstream Contract Identifier not processed	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WPDQR503	Upstream Contract Identifier not processed	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WPDQR504	Service Requester Contract not processed	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WPDQR505	Limit Value not <u>applicable when Allocation Rank Indicator is 'Low'</u> <del>processed for under production.</del>	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WPDQR506	Service Requester ID not processed	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WNMQR507	Downstream Identifier Code not processed	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		WNMQR508	Upstream Identifier Code not processed	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>

**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: ANR Pipeline**

**Request No.: R96121 A-19**

**TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG** (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

**Document Name and No.:** Pre-determined Allocation Quick Response (1.4.2)

<b>Description of Change:</b>
<b>G865PDQR - Pre-determined Allocation - Quick Response (2.4.2)</b>
<b>X12 Mapping</b>
Header level N9 segment: N902: Remove code values and descriptions (leave note)
<b>Transaction Set Tables</b>
"Errors and Warnings (Heading)" table: data element Validation Code: delete error code 01, 02, 03; modify error codes EPDQR100, EPDQR101, EPDQR102, EPDQR103, EPDQR104, EPDQR105; See Code Values Log
"Errors and Warnings (Detail)" table: data element Validation Code: delete error code 01, 02, 03, 04; modify error codes EPDQR300, EPDQR301, EPDQR304, EPDQR305, and warnings WPDQR301, WPDQR302; See Code Values Log
"Errors and Warnings (Sub-detail)" table: data element Validation Code: delete error code 01- 07, 09 - 12; modify error codes EPDQR500 - EPDQR527, and warnings WPDQR500 - WPDQR506; Add new error code EPDQR529; add new warning codes WPDQR507, WPDQR508; See Code Values Log

**4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

**a. Description of Request:**

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

**b. Description of Recommendation:**

**Executive Committee**

**EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:**

There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

**PROCEDURAL VOTE:**

The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

**Sense of the Room:** March 5, 1997  
**Segment Check** (if applicable):

17 In Favor

0 Opposed

**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: ANR Pipeline**

**Request No.: R96121 A-19**

In Favor:   2   End-Users   4   LDCs   5   Pipelines   3   Producers   3   Services  
Opposed:   \_\_\_   End-Users   \_\_\_   LDCs   \_\_\_   Pipelines   \_\_\_   Producers   \_\_\_   Services

**Business Practices Subcommittee**

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

**Information Requirements Subcommittee**

This request is split into two parts: **R96121A** is assigned to the code value clean-up effort.  
**R96121B** is assigned to the definitions on the request.

**Sense of the Room:** August 18, 1997   12   In Favor   0   Opposed  
**Segment Check** (if applicable):  
In Favor:   \_\_\_   End-Users   \_\_\_   LDCs   \_\_\_   Pipelines   \_\_\_   Producers   \_\_\_   Services  
Opposed:   \_\_\_   End-Users   \_\_\_   LDCs   \_\_\_   Pipelines   \_\_\_   Producers   \_\_\_   Services

**MOTION** to make revisions / additions to code value descriptions and definitions for Validation Code data element in the PDA Quick Response.

**Sense of the Room:** April 15, 1998   8   In Favor   0   Opposed  
**Segment Check** (if applicable):  
In Favor:   \_\_\_   End-Users   \_\_\_   LDCs   \_\_\_   Pipelines   \_\_\_   Producers   \_\_\_   Services  
Opposed:   \_\_\_   End-Users   \_\_\_   LDCs   \_\_\_   Pipelines   \_\_\_   Producers   \_\_\_   Services

**Technical Subcommittee**

**Sense of the Room:** April 29, 1998   7   In Favor   0   Opposed  
**Segment Check** (if applicable):  
In Favor:   \_\_\_   End-Users   \_\_\_   LDCs   \_\_\_   Pipelines   \_\_\_   Producers   \_\_\_   Services  
Opposed:   \_\_\_   End-Users   \_\_\_   LDCs   \_\_\_   Pipelines   \_\_\_   Producers   \_\_\_   Services

**c. Business Purpose:**

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

**d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s)**