

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Request No.: R96121 A-4

1. Recommended Action:

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE

Per Request:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

Per Recommendation:

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal

- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

3. RECOMMENDATION

CODE VALUES LOG (for addition, modification or deletion of code values)

Document Name and No.: Confirmation, 1.4.4
 Scheduled Quantity, 1.4.5
 Scheduled Quantity for Operators, 1.4.6

Business Name	Usage	Code Value	Code Value Description	Code Value Definition
Reduction Reason	SO	CBL	Contract Balancing	<i>Reduction due to mismatched requested quantities within a contract.</i>
		CRI	Credit Issues	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		FMJ	Force Majeure	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		PLM	Pipeline Maintenance	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		CRN	Confirmation Response Not Received	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		CPR	Confirming Party Reduction	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		ECM	Exceeded Contract MDQ	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Request No.: R96121 A-4

		EPM	Exceeded Point MDQ	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		PLC	Pipeline Curtailment	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		GQS	Gas Quality Specifications Not Met	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		SRP	Storage Ratchet Provision	<i>A decrease in quantity due to storage inventory level.</i>
		CRR	Confirmation Response not Received for Receipt Location	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		PRR	Confirming Party Reduction at Receipt Location	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		CRD	Confirmation Response not Received for Delivery Location	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		PRD	Confirming Party Reduction at Delivery Location	<i>[No definition necessary]</i>
		CRE	Capacity Recalled	<i>Reduction due to capacity recall by releasing shipper.</i>

TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

Document Name and No.:

Description of Change:
No Technical Changes Needed

4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

a. Description of Request:

[The request was specific to charge type descriptions which were processed as R96121B. Please reference the Executive Committee discussion and procedural instructions below for an accurate description of the "request" surrounding R96121A.]

b. Description of Recommendation:

Executive Committee

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE DISCUSSION:

There are currently over 90 different charge types, some of which may overlap, and are not easily distinguishable. Information Requirements Subcommittee should review the terms for defining descriptions in a glossary, eliminating any redundancy and overlaps. Service codes should be reviewed at the same time with the same actions. Possibly this

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Request No.: R96121 A-4

should be a joint Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee effort. This item is also in our annual plan.

PROCEDURAL VOTE:

The revised recommendation is for the Information Requirements Subcommittee and Business Practices Subcommittee to review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

Sense of the Room: March 5, 1997 17 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: 2 End-Users 4 LDCs 5 Pipelines 3 Producers 3 Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Business Practices Subcommittee

September 4, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

With respect to the Code value clean-up effort, Information Requirements is to undertake the effort and as with the current custom, should in the process of this effort, the Information Requirements Subcommittee identify business practice issues (i.e., controversies) they should refer those to the BPS for resolution.

(Note: No specific sense of the room was taken as the motion was procedural and instructional. There was no opposition stated by any of the 20 attendees on the conference call.)

Information Requirements Subcommittee

This request is split into two parts: **R96121A** is be assigned to the code value clean-up effort. **R96121B** is assigned to the definitions on the request.

Sense of the Room: August 18, 1997 12 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Reduction Reason data element codes:

Pipeline Capacity Constraint: A constraint on the Transportation Service Provider's system. [current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Contract Balancing: Reduction due to mismatched requested quantities within a contract. [new definition]

Credit Issues: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Force Majeure: [no definition necessary] [new definition]



RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Request No.: R96121 A-4

Pipeline Maintenance: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirmation Response Not Received: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirming Party Reduction: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Exceeded Contract MDQ: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Exceeded Point MDQ: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Pipeline Curtailment: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Gas Quality Specifications Not Met: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint: A constraint on the Confirming Party's system.
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Storage Ratchet Provision: A decrease in quantity due to storage inventory level. [new definition]

Confirmation Response not Received for Receipt Location: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirmation Response not Received for Delivery Location: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirming Party Reduction at Receipt Location: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirming Party Reduction at Delivery Location: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Capacity Recalled: Reduction due to capacity recall by releasing shipper.

Quantity Exceeds MDQ of Associated Contract: [no definition necessary] [new definition]

Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Receipt Location: A reduction of the nominated receipt quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system.
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Confirming Party's Capacity Constraint at Delivery Location: A reduction of the nominated delivery quantity due to a constraint on the Confirming Party's system.
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Beginning/Ending Date/Time: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Location: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Contractual Flow Indicator: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Downstream Contract Identifier Not Processed: [No definition necessary]



RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Request No.: R96121 A-4

[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Upstream Contract Identifier Not Processed: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Confirmation Service Contract Not Processed: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Service Requester: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Upstream Identifier Code: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Downstream Identifier Code: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Upstream Contract Identifier: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Downstream Contract Identifier: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Service Requester Contract: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Confirmation Service Identifier Code: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

Invalid Associated Contract: [No definition necessary]
[current proposed definition, see R97044 - EC approved 9/12/97]

No Corresponding Nomination: A nomination does not exist which matches up ~~at the applicable level~~. This does not refer to nominations which contain mismatched quantities. [current proposed definition, see R97038 - EC approved 10/16/97 (as modified)]

No Corresponding Nomination at Receipt Location: A nomination at the receipt location does not exist which matches up ~~at the applicable level~~. This does not refer to nominations which contain mismatched quantities. [current proposed definition, see R97038 - EC approved 10/16/97 (w/EC modification)]

No Corresponding Nomination at Delivery Location: A nomination at the delivery location does not exist which matches up ~~at the applicable level~~. This does not refer to nominations which contain mismatched quantities.
[current proposed definition, see R97038 - EC approved 10/16/97 (as modified)]

RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Requester: ANR Pipeline

Request No.: R96121 A-4

Motion: Adopt new and modified definitions for reduction reason codes listed above.

Sense of the Room: September 10, 1997 9 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Technical Subcommittee

No technical changes needed.

Sense of the Room: October 29, 1997 6 In Favor 0 Opposed

Segment Check (if applicable):

In Favor: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

Opposed: End-Users LDCs Pipelines Producers Services

c. Business Purpose:

Review all codes for a higher degree of standardization.

d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):

IR: Approved code value definitions without opposition.