



**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: Enron Interstate Pipelines**

**Request No.: R97068**

**1. Recommended Action:**

- Accept as requested
- Accept as modified below
- Decline

**Effect of EC Vote to Accept Recommended Action:**

- Change to Existing Practice
- Status Quo

**2. TYPE OF MAINTENANCE**

**Per Request:**

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal
  
- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

**Per Recommendation:**

- Initiation
- Modification
- Interpretation
- Withdrawal
  
- Principle (x.1.z)
- Definition (x.2.z)
- Business Practice Standard (x.3.z)
- Document (x.4.z)
- Data Element (x.4.z)
- Code Value (x.4.z)
- X12 Implementation Guide
- Business Process Documentation

**3. RECOMMENDATION**

**TECHNICAL CHANGE LOG** (all instructions to accomplish the recommendation)

**Document Name and No.:**

|                               |
|-------------------------------|
| <b>Description of Change:</b> |
| No Technical Changes Needed   |

**4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

**a. Description of Request:**

Revise the usage of the SAC Segment in the Capacity Release - Upload to Pipeline of Prearranged Deal (UPPD) X12 transaction from Mandatory to Conditional; required when Biddable Deal Indicator = 'Y' or the Lesser Rate Indicator = 'Y' or 'U'. This request is dependent upon the outcome of, and would best be addressed in conjunction with, Enron request R97067.

**b. Description of Recommendation:**

**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: Enron Interstate Pipelines**

**Request No.: R97068**

**Business Practices Subcommittee**

“BPS recommends that the business intent stated in R97065, R97066, R97067 and R97068 is agreed upon and passed to IR/Technical for implementation consistent with R97010, R97015, R97016, R97052 and R97053.”

**Sense of the Room:** May 21, 1997            21 In Favor            0 Opposed

**Segment Check** (if applicable):

In Favor:             End-Users         LDCs             Pipelines             Producers         Services

Opposed:             End-Users         LDCs             Pipelines             Producers         Services

**Information Requirements Subcommittee**

After reviewing the data dictionary and implementation guides in further consideration of motions made at the meeting, the group determined that this request was dependent on the outcome of the following:

A change was made as part of R96041 to fix the Rate Bid condition by removing the words "the deal is biddable and" from the condition description. A corresponding change should be made to the "Percentage of Maximum Rate Bid" as well for both the UPPD and Offer. We will request this change from BPS.

Pending the outcome of the above, R97067 and R97068 are on hold.

Sense of the room on Reconsideration and Sending back to BPS:

**Sense of the Room:** June 24, 1997            8 In Favor            0 Opposed

**Segment Check** (if applicable):

In Favor:             End-Users         LDCs             Pipelines             Producers         Services

Opposed:             End-Users         LDCs             Pipelines             Producers         Services

**Business Practices Subcommittee**

August 7, 1997 Business Practices Subcommittee Conference Call:

There was a motion made and seconded to inform the Information Requirements Subcommittee to make the consistency changes, which passed unanimously.

**Information Requirements Subcommittee**

Based on the outcome of R97067, one or the other of Percentage of Maximum Rate Bid or Rate Bid will always be present. This will be forwarded to the Technical Subcommittee who will make the determination as to the usage of the relevant SAC segment in the UPPD

**Sense of the Room:** September 9, 1997            13 In Favor            0 Opposed

**Segment Check** (if applicable):

In Favor:             End-Users         LDCs             Pipelines             Producers         Services

Opposed:             End-Users         LDCs             Pipelines             Producers         Services



**RECOMMENDATION TO GISB EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE**

**Requester: Enron Interstate Pipelines**

**Request No.: R97068**

**Technical Subcommittee**

October 29, 1997: This request is no longer needed based on outcome of R97067. There will always be a SAC segment, so the segment notes do not need to be changed. The recommendation form will reflect that no change is needed. No objections noted.

December 8, 1997: This request was discussed at our October 29 meeting. This request is no longer needed based on the outcome of R97067. However, Technical will still fill out a recommendation form indicating that no changes are needed. No objections noted.

**c. Business Purpose:**

The instructions for the SAC segment will accurately reflect usage of the segment in the UPPD.

**d. Commentary/Rationale of Subcommittee(s)/Task Force(s):**

IR: Determined that the changes being made to be consistent with R96041 are sufficient. The revisions originally requested are no longer necessary.