

C96023Arthur, Lisa, 05:44 PM 11/18/96, FW: GISB Trading Partner Agree

Return-Path: ArthurL@kochind.com
 From: "Arthur, Lisa" <ArthurL@kochind.com>
 To: Greg Lander <nrcr@mindspring.com>
 Subject: FW: GISB Trading Partner Agreement
 Date: Mon, 18 Nov 1996 17:44:00 -0600

*S M
 Forward to
 CISA as
 request for
 Subsequent*

Have been working on our models for EDI agency and trading partner agreements. Uncovered the issue below in the process.

If you have time, I'd like to run some of my assumptions by you regarding the level at which EDI agents are defined.

Let me know where to fax the information.

 From: Arthur, Lisa
 To: Crawford, Steve; Shields, Linda
 Cc: Stockton, Steve (Consultant); Gerould, Romy; Morgan, Derryl
 Subject: GISB Trading Partner Agreement
 Date: Monday, November 18, 1996 5:44PM

I am trying to determine whether or not 4.3.12, below, would prevent us from naming Wichita as our designated site for nomination, allocation and invoicing transactions, and someone like TransCapacity as our designated site for capacity release transactions. Given my assumption that KGPC might enter into multiple trading partner agreements (TPA's) with the same business party - one for each type of EDI transaction, then this would not be a problem, because each TPA would only list a single "designated site" for KGPC.

If, however, the proposed TPA that GISB plans to standardize requires that all activity between KGPC and a specific shipper be covered by a single agreement, then we would have to receive all transactions here, and then perhaps re-route transactions such as capacity release on to someone like TransCapacity. This could hurt our chances of meeting the GISB required response time.

>From looking at the TPA information I sent you, do you know whether or not this is a potential problem?

4.3.12
 Standard

As a minimum, within a trading partner agreement, one designated site for receipt should be identified for each trading partner. That site should be identified by a specific Uniform Resource Locator, (URL).

Arthur, Lisa, 05:44 PM 11/18/96, FW: GISB Trading Partner Agree

This
does not preclude multiple designated sites being mutually agreed to
between trading partners.
<<Remember that we can not require that anyone mutually agree in order
to implement the GISB standards>>