

Revised
C98005

Request for Clarification or Interpretation

Date: 4/3/98

Requester Name: Joseph B. Bianchi

Company: ANR Pipeline Company

Phone, Fax: 313-496-2177 / 313-496-2126

E-Mail: JOSEPH.BIANCHI@COASTALCORP.COM



GISB Standard Number: 1.3.22i

Clarification or interpretation request:

With respect to the timely Nomination Confirmation Process: "If there is no response to a request for Confirmation or an unsolicited Confirmation Response, the lesser of the confirmation quantity or the previously scheduled quantity should be the confirmation quantity."

Possible interpretations or clarifications, if known:

For start of Day should the previously scheduled quantity be the previous Start of Day scheduled quantity or the last previous scheduled Intra-Day quantity . The last scheduled Intra-Day quantity would be the 10 am Intra-Day. Would using the 10 am Intra-Day scheduled quantities be confusing since there is still one more Intra-Day cycle for the Day. Also, standard 1.2.4 indicated that a Intra-Day nom is for one day only. Standard 1.3.13 indicated that a Intra-Day nom does not rollover and does not replace a standing nom. Therefore, is there a conflict in using a previously scheduled Intra-Day quantity for a start of day lesser of comparison.

Suggested changes to standard 1.3.22i / 1.3.22ii

Add to the last sentence in 1.3.22i: If there is no response to a Request for Confirmation or an unsolicited Confirmation Response, the lesser of the confirmation quantity or the previously scheduled Timely Nomination quantity should be the new confirmed quantity.

Add to the last sentence In 1.3.22ii: If there is no response to a Request For Confirmation or an unsolicited Confirmation Response, the previously scheduled quantity for that current Gas Day should be the new confirmed quantity.

This form is to be submitted to the GISB office, both in electronic and written form