

# R97026

## Gas Industry Standards Board

Request for Initiation of a GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions  
or  
Enhancement of an Existing GISB Standard for Electronic Business Transactions

Date of Request: November 18, 1996

**1. Submitting Entity & Address:**

Market Settlement Task Force

**2. Contact Person, Phone #, Fax #, Electronic Mailing Address:**

Name: Charles Bass  
Title: Task Force Co-Chair  
Phone: (713)757-5590  
Fax: (713)757-2827  
Email:

Name: Joyce Phillips  
Title: Task Force Co-Chair  
Phone: (713)627-5631  
Fax: (713)627-5041  
Email: [jphillip@panenergy.com](mailto:jphillip@panenergy.com)

Name: L. Wade Hopper  
Title: Task Force Co-Chair  
Phone: (713)752-7188  
Fax: (713)752-4044  
Email: [hoppelw@texaco.com](mailto:hoppelw@texaco.com)

**3. Description of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

To further minimize the proliferation of Charge Types, the following discussion for Charge Type should be added to the Technical Implementation of Business Process for the GISB Transportation/Sales Invoice

"A Charge Type may describe one or more rates applied to the same transaction or billable unit of service such as MDQ, receipt volume, delivery volume, or pipeline connection. One charge type may be applicable to several different types of services. Different rates may be associated with the same charge type depending upon service, contract, path, month, rate tier, etc."

**4. Use of Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

To keep from having so many charge type codes that the codes become meaningless more generic codes should be used where possible. For example a single charge type code, such as

COM for commodity, should be used for both transportation and sales commodity. Fields such as Service Code, Receipt/Delivery Location, Receipt/Delivery Zone, Rate Tier, and others can be used in conjunction with the generic charge type codes to make the individual invoice line items more descriptive.

**5. Description of Any Tangible or Intangible Benefits to the Use of the Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

Helps minimize the need to proliferate the list of approved charge type codes,

**6. Estimate of Incremental Specific Costs to Implement Proposed Standard or Enhancement:**

**7. Description of Any Specific Legal or Other Considerations:**

**8. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is Not Tested Yet, List Trading Partners Willing to Test Standard or Enhancement (Corporations and contacts):**

**9. If This Proposed Standard or Enhancement Is In Use, Who Are the Trading Partners:**

**10. Attachments** (such as: further detailed proposals, transaction data descriptions, information flows, implementation guides, business process descriptions, examples of ASC ANSI X12 mapped transactions):