**1. RECOMMENDED ACTION: EFFECT OF EC VOTE TO ACCEPT RECOMMENDED ACTION:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Accept as requested |  | Change to Existing Practice |
| X | Accept as modified below | X | Status Quo |
|  | Decline |  |  |

**2. TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT/MAINTENANCE**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Per Request:** | **Per Recommendation:** |
|  | Initiation |  | Initiation |
|  | Modification |  | Modification |
| X | Interpretation | X | Interpretation |
|  | Withdrawal |  | Withdrawal |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | Principle |  | Principle |
|  | Definition |  | Definition |
|  | Business Practice Standard |  | Business Practice Standard |
|  | Document |  | Document |
|  | Data Element |  | Data Element |
|  | Code Value |  | Code Value |
|  | X12 Implementation Guide |  | X12 Implementation Guide |
|  | Business Process Documentation |  | Business Process Documentation |

**3. RECOMMENDATION**

**SUMMARY:**

* Add proposed interpretation NAESB WGQ Standard No. [7.3.x]

**Recommended Standards:**

**Proposed NAESB WGQ Standard No. [7.3.x]**

Please clarify the meaning of “This standard shall not apply in the case of deliberate omission or misrepresentation or mutual mistake of fact” as reflected in NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.3.14which states in full: “Measurement data corrections should be processed within 6 months of the production month with a 3 month rebuttal period. This standard shall not apply in the case of deliberate omission or misrepresentation or mutual mistake of fact. Parties’ other statutory or contractual rights shall not otherwise be diminished by this standard.”

**Interpretation:**

This sentence, as well as the final sentence, were placed in this standard and NAESB WGQ Standard No. 3.3.16 to recognize that situations might arise that would necessitate corrections beyond the 6 month time period. These phrases are legal “terms of art” and are not intended to explicitly define when such extensions are warranted. Mutual agreement between parties, legal decisions and regulatory guidance may be necessary to determine if the event qualifies for an extension of the 6 month correction time period.

**4. SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

**a. Description of Request:**

C12004– Please clarify the meaning of “This standard shall not apply in the case of deliberate omission or misrepresentation or mutual mistake of fact” as reflected in NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.3.14which states in full: “Measurement data corrections should be processed within 6 months of the production month with a 3 month rebuttal period. This standard shall not apply in the case of deliberate omission or misrepresentation or mutual mistake of fact. Parties’ other statutory or contractual rights shall not otherwise be diminished by this standard.”

**b. Description of Recommendation:**

WGQ Interpretations Subcommittee

See the WGQ Interpretations Subcommittee meeting minutes, meeting minute attachments and notational ballot.

 May 17, 2012

 June 15, 2012

**Motion: June 15, 2012**

The WGQ Interpretation Subcommittee recommends that proposed interpretation [7.3.x] be adopted to clarify NAESB WGQ Standard No. 2.3.14.

**Vote:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Segment** | **Name** | **Organization**  | **Vote** |
| Pipelines | Paul Love | Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America | Abstained (See note) |
|  | Mark Gracey | Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company | In Favor  |
| LDCs | Craig Colombo | Dominion Resources | In Favor (NB) |
|  | Vacancy |  | ----- |
| Producers | Richard Smith | Noble Energy | Abstained (NB) |
| Services | Keith Sappenfield | Encana Marketing | In Favor *(NB received 07/01/2012)* |
|  | Leigh Spangler | Latitude Technologies | Abstained (NB) |
| End Users | Tina Burnett | The Boeing Company | In Favor (NB) |
|  | Valerie Crockett | TVA | In Favor  |

**Note:** Paul Love abstained because he and Mark Gracey are both Kinder Morgan employees.