## Iroquois Gas Transmission System, L.P. NAESB WGQ BPS Meeting Workpaper Request: R24006

## Example of need for Off-System Indicator field

Contracts								
	<b>Contract Holder</b>	Contract Number						
On Iroquois' System:	A	1	11					
	В	2	22					
	B	3	<mark>33</mark>					
	С	4	<mark>44</mark>					
	Z	9999	99					
On Interconnect's System:	E	3	<mark>33</mark>					
(also added to Iroquois system								
specifically for use in Up K/Dn K fields)	С	Δ	44					
	D		55					
	F		66					
								Off-System Downstream
	С	7	77		Dn Name	Dn K	Quantity	Contract Indicator
				Г	А	1		0 No
Nomination Scenarios (Pathed Non-Threaded):					В	2	22 1,00	0 No
					В	3	33 1,50	<mark>0</mark> No
SR Z, SR K 999999	Point AAA		Point BBB		С	4	44 2,00	<mark>0</mark> ???
					С	7	77 2,50	0 Yes
	Nomination Quantity	18,000 Dth			E	3	33 3,00	<mark>0</mark> Yes
					D	5	55 3,50	0 Yes
				Ľ	F	6	66 4,00	0 Yes
					TOTAL		18,00	0

The orange highlighted row is where an off-system indicator would be required to determine appropriate contract intended to be pointed to.

If a Dn K is intended to point to a contract on an interconnecting pipeline, Iroquois needs to know this so it shows up on the confirmation screen for that operator.

Note: On Iroquois, for most transactions the Transaction Type is typically '01' (Current Business) and the contract type setup in Iroquois system will help determine whether this will appear on the confirmation screen.

Open Question: If NAESB doesn't standardize this field, can Iroquois use the Nomination User Data 1 field (Mutually Agreeable field) and force shipper's to use it since Iroquois needs that data?